

Subject: Report broadcast on 10 December 2021 Sender:
Speroni Stefano< Stefano.speroni@eni.com> Date: 10/12/21,
18:24

A: "redazionereport@rai.it" <redazionereport@rai.it>, "sigfrido.ranucci@rai.it"
<sigfrido.ranucci@rai.it>, "Matteo.ceruti@rovigoavvocati.it"
<Matteo.ceruti@rovigoavvocati.it>, "Imanes@recommon.org"
<Imanes@recommon.org>, "atricarico@recommon.org"
<atricarico@recommon.org>, "info@recommon.org" <info@recommon.org>

Dear Sirs,

from the previews available this morning, we have taken note that on Monday 10 December 2021 you intend to air a report of yours on the well-known subject of the video of 28 July 2014, which has already been the subject of numerous exchanges of correspondence between Eni, your editorial staff and Dr Ranucci personally.

In view of this broadcast we reiterate that full and complete reading and information on the position expressed by Eni should be given there, together with clear information to the viewer of the availability of all relevant documentation available on the Eni website <https://eni.com/it-IT/media/caso-opl245-processo-nigeria.html> and, in particular, <https://eni.com/it-IT/media/caso-opl245-processo-nigeria/nostre-risposte-report.html>

In relation to the portion of Antonio Tricarico's speech, which is read out to us in copy together with his lawyer, (as already known in advance on your social networks and that of Re:Common), we invite you to also report the following position of Eni:

- Re: Common and Dr. Tricarico personally are the individuals who presented the complaints to the Public Prosecutor's Office in Milan and who initiated the investigations into the OPL 245 affair, which concluded with the acquittal of Eni and its *managers* because the fact does not exist, a finding of which, moreover, has been definitively verified;
- The Court of Milan rejected Re-Common's request to join as a plaintiff in the OPL 245 trial, finding no standing;
- The comments exchanged by Piero Amara and Andrea Peruzy intervene between persons totally and absolutely third parties with respect to Eni, lacking any formal and substantial title to use the company's name. Said comments are reported in the context of a business attempt to damage Eni together with Vincenzo Armanna for the pursuit of the personal economic interests of Amara, Armanna, Peruzy (and other persons) who attended said meeting;
- The personal profit motive of all participants is also directly confirmed in the interrogation given by Dr Andrea Peruzy to the investigating authorities on 8 November 2019 concerning participation in that meeting (this interrogation being public).

Therefore, one cannot understand the relevance (beyond the legitimacy, competence or authoritativeness of the interlocutor that Report intends to assign to Antonio Tricarico to the point of electing him as the interlocutor of a public service) of the comments with respect to Eni's reputation, if not to damage it without legitimate reason.

Eni therefore reserves the right to take action in any forum to protect its reputation should there be further evidence of this after viewing or in the event that its arguments and documents are not fully presented.

Best regards.

Lawyer Stefano Speroni

Posted by iPhone

Eni SpA
Head Office
Piazzale Enrico Mattei, 1 00144
Rome - Italy

Share Capital
euro 4,005,358,876.00 i.v.
Tax code and Rome Company Register no. 00484960588 VAT no.
00905811006
Rome R.E.A. no. 756453

Secondary locations:
Via Emilia, 1 and Piazza Ezio Vanoni, 1
20097 San Donato Milanese (Milan) - Italy

[eni.com](http://www.eni.com)

Message for the recipient only, if received in error, please notify the sender and read <http://www.eni.com/disclaimer/>

Message for the recipient only, if received in error, please notify the sender and read <http://www.eni.com/disclaimer/>